

# Deliberating with citizen's about energy development - Citizens' Juries in Scotland

**Dr Jen Roberts**

*University of Strathclyde / ClimateXChange*

**Dr Oliver Escobar**

*University of Edinburgh / What Works Scotland*



Scotland's centre of expertise connecting  
climate change research and policy

**In the last 3 months,  
have you participated in a public forum to  
discuss policy or community issues?**


# Stay standing if at that forum there was a reasonable...

- ...gender balance
- ...mix of personal and professional backgrounds
- ...range of perspectives and opinions
- ...age range (i.e. 3 generations)
- ...sense that most participants felt included and influential
- ...sense that most participants enjoyed it

# Mini-publics?

- A 'mini-public' is a **deliberative forum** where citizens are **selected randomly** to reflect the diversity of the public affected by the issue, and convened for a period of time sufficient for participants **to form considered opinions and judgements** (MacKenzie and Warren 2012:95)
- Many types, varying from 12 to 500 citizens
  - e.g. citizens' juries, consensus conferences, planning cells, citizen assemblies, etc

# Citizens' Juries on wind farms in Scotland



- The Project
- The Citizens' Juries
- Findings (*a taster...*)
- Conclusions: *Using mini-publics in decision-making?*

# The Project (2013-2015)

Two overall **research aims:**

- Understand **how deliberative processes can be used to engage citizens on complex public issues.**
- Learn about **citizens' views on wind farms before and after the process** (i.e. having had the opportunity to learn and deliberate on the topic).

6 Stewarding Board members, 7 organisers, 10 researchers, 7 'witnesses', and dozens of supporters, colleagues, advisors, partners...



Scotland's centre of expertise connecting  
climate change research and policy

# Project Overview

**WHAT  
WORKS  
SCOTLAND**



University of  
**Strathclyde**  
Glasgow



climate  change

*Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy*

Academy of Government  
The UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH



**UWS** UNIVERSITY OF THE  
WEST of SCOTLAND

**RGU** **ROBERT GORDON**  
UNIVERSITY ABERDEEN



Queen Margaret University  
EDINBURGH



University  
of Glasgow



THE UNIVERSITY  
of EDINBURGH

**eccci**

EDINBURGH CENTRE  
FOR CARBON INNOVATION

# What makes the project unique?


- First time ever: 3 citizens' juries
  - on the same issue
  - in different locations
- Mixed methods research design
  - 5 data sources






## The Citizens' Juries

- 47 jurors in 3 locations:  
*Coldstream (15)*  
*Helensburgh (14)*  
*Aberfeldy (18)*
- Overall, diverse in demographics & attitudes  
*(Each jury less diverse > sample and recruitment challenge)*
- Barriers to participation lowered via: timing, location, stipend...





We should use the energy resources we have in Scotland/UK  
energy  
bal  
system

## The Jury's Task

*There are strong views on wind farms in Scotland, with some people being strongly opposed, others being strongly in favour and a range of opinions in between.*

***What should be the key principles for deciding about wind farm development, and why?***

# Project Overview

**Day 1**

## Information Phase:

Introduction to the process and witness sessions.

**2 - 3 weeks**

## Reflection Phase:

Jurors take away information pack and receive witness responses to outstanding questions from Day 1.


**Day 2**

## Deliberation Phase:

Jurors set the agenda and work together on the task.

## Taster of Key Findings (2)

Aim (2): Learn about citizens' views on wind farms before and after the process (i.e. having had the opportunity to learn and deliberate on the topic).



# Results (2)

- Participants **open to review and change their opinions**. In this process the majority of jurors developed nuanced views about wind farm development.
- Three **factors** were influential:
  - **Evidence** – jurors revised their views in light of the information and opinions presented by the witnesses.
  - **Group diversity** – juries featuring a range of perspectives moderated their views (i.e. from very positive to slightly positive); in contrast, a less diverse jury shifted strongly in the direction of its pre-deliberation views (i.e. opposing wind farms).
  - **Local context** – i.e. proximity to wind farms or other energy sources; history of local projects and community engagement.

# Results (2) *cont...*

### Participants:

- ...deepened their understanding of the topic, learning about the **complexity and tradeoffs** involved in making decisions about wind farm development.
- ...developed strong views about the importance of:
  - credible **evidence** – called for trustworthy mechanisms to support informed community engagement.
  - considering '**who benefits**' from wind farm development,
  - **community participation** should be central in decision-making.

# Results (2) *cont...*

**Principles** – 6 themes across all 3 groups:

1. the **desirable energy mix** for Scotland,
2. the characteristics of the **evidence** needed for decision-making,
3. the range of negative and positive **impacts** that should be **taken into account** for decision-making,
4. the **role of public responsibility** i.e. reducing energy consumption,
5. the **limits** to wind farm development, and
6. the question of **who should benefit** from this energy source.

## Taster of Key Findings (1)

Aim (1): Understand how deliberative processes can be used to engage citizens on complex public issues.





# Results (1)

- Participants felt that the citizens' jury model provides an **ideal space for informed and inclusive deliberation on complex policy issues**, and should be used for decision-making.
- Participants not only learned, but **enjoyed learning**. They not only expressed views, but also **developed views**.
- The process **fostered civic skills and attitudes** > 'schools of democracy' where participants develop civic capacity

# Key Findings

- Key **organisational challenges**:
  - witnesses, recruitment, monitoring the quality of evidence, assembling Stewarding Board, and strategic choices on the juries' location, scope and task.
- Key factors influenced the **quality of participation and deliberation**:
  - **facilitation** (the craft of supporting groups to have meaningful, inclusive conversations),
  - and **diversity** (the variety of views, perspectives, experiences and backgrounds present in the group).

To wrap up...

## The goal of public deliberation is ...

“to improve the legitimacy of democracy by making democratic institutions systematically responsive to reasons, not just the weight of numbers or the power of interests” (Parkinson 2012:170)

To wrap up...

## Concluding - how could mini-publics be used in decision-making?

- **a direct advisory body to decision makers**
  - offering recommendations based on deliberation that draws on diverse views, knowledge and experiences;
- **a catalyst for broader public engagement**
  - jurors as facilitators of public forums in their communities, thus bringing into the jury a range of local perspectives;
- **an honest broker of evidence**
  - distilling the pros, cons and tradeoffs of policy options into balanced information that can be shared with local communities as a resource and stimulus for public deliberation

## Discussion points

# Using mini-publics to inform decision making for low-carbon energy development / CCS?

### An invitation to consider....

#### 1. What potential role do you see for mini-publics?

- *Options for low Carbon energy dev.? For CCS - ? In what way and for what purpose?*


#### 2. In what ways could deliberative democracy methods such as CJ's tackle some of the issues in ethics and gov. that have been discussed re: CCS?

# Project Overview

## An invitation to the report....

For report, Exec Sum & more:  
<http://tinyurl.com/citizens-juries>

[jen.roberts@strath.ac.uk](mailto:jen.roberts@strath.ac.uk)



Scotland's centre of expertise connecting  
climate change research and policy

**WHAT  
WORKS  
SCOTLAND**



University of  
**Strathclyde**  
Glasgow



climate  change

*Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy*

Academy of Government  
The UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH



**UWS** UNIVERSITY OF THE  
WEST of SCOTLAND

**RGU** **ROBERT GORDON**  
UNIVERSITY ABERDEEN



Queen Margaret University  
EDINBURGH



University  
of Glasgow



THE UNIVERSITY  
of EDINBURGH

**eccci**

EDINBURGH CENTRE  
FOR CARBON INNOVATION