

The scheme below is used by our reviewers to assess your submission.

THE RESEARCH BACKGROUND OF THE UK AND RECEIVING RESEARCHER (Select One)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Overall, very strong backgrounds of both researchers (recommend funding)2. Overall strong backgrounds of researchers or only one very strong (recommend funding)3. Overall average backgrounds of researchers or one strong and one poor (recommend funding only if available)4. Overall poor background of researchers (funding not recommended)5. Very poor backgrounds of both researchers (funding not recommended)
THE IMPACT THAT PROPOSED RESEARCH WILL HAVE ON CCS PRACTITIONERS (Select One)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Very strong case for impact made (recommend funding)2. Strong case for impact made (recommend funding)3. Average background case for impact made (recommend funding only if available)4. Poor case for impact made (funding not recommended)5. Very poor case for impact made (funding not recommended)
THE MUTUAL BENEFIT OF THE COLLABORATION (Select One)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Very strong case for research benefit made for both researchers (recommend funding)2. Strong case for research benefit made (recommend funding)3. Average case for research benefit made (recommend funding only if available)4. Poor case for research benefit made (funding not recommended)5. Very poor case for research benefit made (funding not recommended)
THE POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE UK CCS RESEARCH BASE (Select One)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Very strong and clear case made for how proposed research will contribute to the UK CCS research base (recommend funding)2. Strong and clear case made for how proposed research will contribute to the UK CCS research base (recommend funding)3. Average case made for how proposed research will contribute to the UK CCS research base (recommend funding only if available)4. Poor and unclear case made for how proposed research will contribute to the UK CCS research base (funding not recommended)5. Very poor and unclear case made for how proposed research will contribute to the UK CCS research base (funding not recommended)
THE POTENTIAL FOR LONG-TERM COLLABORATION (Select One)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Very strong evidence presented for long term collaboration potential (recommend funding)2. Strong potential for long term collaboration potential (recommend funding)3. Average potential for long term collaboration potential (recommend funding only if available)4. Poor potential for long term collaboration potential (funding not recommended)5. Very poor potential for long term collaboration potential (funding not recommended)
VALUE FOR MONEY/EFFICIENT USE OF FUNDS (Select One)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Very strong value for money (funding recommended)2. Strong value for money (funding recommended)3. Average value for money (funding recommended only if available)4. Poor value for money (funding not recommended)5. Very poor value for money (funding not recommended)